arXiv:math/0510503v2 [math.AG] 6 Mar 2006

A note on embeddings of S_4 and A_5 into the Cremona group and versal Galois covers

Shinzo BANNAI and Hiro-o TOKUNAGA*

Abstract

In this article, we prove that two versal Galois covers for S_4 and A_5 introduced in [17], [18] and [19] are birationally distinct to each other. As a corollary, we obtain two non-conjugate embeddings of S_4 and A_5 into $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Introduction

Let X and Y be normal projective varieties defined over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. A finite surjective morphism $\pi : X \to Y$ is called Galois, if the induced field extension $\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)$ of the field of rational functions is Galois. Given a finite group G, we simply call $\pi : X \to Y$ a G-cover if it is Galois and $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y)) \cong G$. In [17] and [19], a notion called "versal Galois covers" is introduced, of which definition is as follows:

Definition 0.1 Let G be a finite group. A G-cover $\varpi : X \to Y$ is called a versal Galois cover for G or a versal G-cover if it satisfies the following property:

For any G-cover $\pi : W \to Z$, there exists a G-equivariant rational map $\mu : W \dashrightarrow X$ such that

$$\mu(W) \not\subset \mathrm{Fix}(X, G),$$

where $\mathrm{Fix}(X, G) := \{x \in X \mid \text{the stabilizer group at } x,\ G_x \neq \{1\}\}$.

Remark 0.1 The rational map μ induces a rational map $\overline{\mu} : Z \dashrightarrow Y$. Concerning this rational map $\overline{\mu}$, there exists a Zariski open set U such that (i) $U \subset \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\mu})$, $\mathrm{dom}(\bullet)$ being the domain of a rational map $\bullet$, and (ii) $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is birationally equivalent to $U \times_Y X$ over U. (see [18], Proposition 1.2).

*Key Words and Phrases: versal Galois cover, Cremona embedding, 2000 MSC 14E20, 14L30.

The notion of versal G-covers implicitly appeared in [12] and [13] as the "pull-back" construction of G-covers, and Namba showed that there exists a versal G-cover of dimension $\sharp(G)$ for any finite group G. Namba's model, however, has too large dimension for practical use.

By Theorem 6.2 in [5], there exists a 1-dimensional versal G-cover if and only if G is either a cyclic group or a dihedral group of order $2n$ (n: odd). As an next step, in [17], [18] and [19], we studied 2-dimensional versal G-covers and gave some explicit examples. For a finite subgroup G in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$, Bannai and Tsuchihashi construct versal G-covers of dimension n by using toric geometry in [1] and [19]. Among explicit examples in [17], [18], two different versal G-covers, $\varpi_{G,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\varpi_{G,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$ are given for the case when G is S_4, the symmetric group of 4-letters and A_5, the alternating group of 5-letters (see §1 for description of X_1 and X_2). By definition of versal G-covers, there exist G-equivariant rational maps $\mu_1 : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ and $\mu_2 : X_2 \dashrightarrow X_1$ such that $\mu_1(X_1) \not\subset \mathrm{Fix}(X_2, G)$ and $\mu_2(X_2) \not\subset \mathrm{Fix}(X_1, G)$. Moreover, X_1 and X_2 are del-Pezzo surfaces. Under these circumstances, it may be natural to raise a question as follows:

Question 0.1 Let G be either S_4 or A_5. Let $\varpi_{G,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\varpi_{G,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$ be versal G-covers as above. Does there exist any G-equivariant birational map from X_1 to X_2?

In this note, we consider Question 0.1 and prove the following:

Theorem 0.1 *There exists no G-equivariant birational map from X_1 to X_2*

Since both X_1 and X_2 are rational, their birational automorphism group is the 2-dimensional Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$. For $G = S_4, A_5$, we have two different embeddings $\eta_i : G \to \mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ $(i = 1, 2)$ via $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X_i) \subset \mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})(i = 1, 2)$. Our theorem implies that $\eta_1(G)$ is *not* conjugate to $\eta_2(G)$ in $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Combining Proposition 0.3 (i) in [18], we have the following corollary:

Corollary 0.1 *Both S_4 and A_5 have at least* 3 *non-conjugate embedding into* $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Our results could be found in old literatures such as [10] and [20], but we would like to emphasize that our question comes from the study of versal G-covers, which is a rather new notion. Also conjugate classes of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$ have been studied by several mathematicians ([2], [3], [4], [6], [8]). The notion of versal G-covers may add another interest to this subject.

This article goes as follows. We first give a detailed description of the versal G-covers $\varpi_{G,i} : X_i \to Y_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in §1. In §2, we explain our main tool, "Noether's inequality,"

which plays important role in [8] and [9]. We prove Theorem 0.1 in §3. In §4, we consider rational maps between X_1 and X_2 in the case of $G = S_4$.

Acknowledgement A key step of this note was done during the second author's visit to Ruhr Universität Bochum. He thanks Professor A. Huckleberry for his comments and hospitality.

1 Versal S_4- and A_5-covers: Two examples

1.1 Versal S_4-covers

Let S_4 be the symmetric group of 4-letters. In order to describe S_4, we use the following representations:

Generators: $\sigma, \tau, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$
Relations: $\sigma^2 = \tau^3 = \lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = 1$
$\sigma\tau = \tau^2\sigma$, $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_2\lambda_1$
$\sigma\lambda_1\sigma = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, $\sigma\lambda_2\sigma = \lambda_2$
$\tau^2\lambda_1\tau = \lambda_1\lambda_2$, $\tau^2\lambda_2\tau = \lambda_1$

Let $\rho : S_4 \to \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a faithful irreducible representation as follows:

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tau \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Versal S_4-cover $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$

Let X_1 be a surface in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by the equation

$$x_0y_0z_0 - x_1y_1z_1 = 0,$$

where $([x_0, x_1], [y_0, y_1], [z_0, z_1])$ denotes the homogeneous coordinates. Put $x = x_1/x_0, y = y_1/y_0, z = z_1/z_0$. Define an S_4-action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z)^\sigma &= (y, x, z), \\ (x, y, z)^\tau &= (y, z, x), \\ (x, y, z)^{\lambda_1} &= (-x, y, -z), \\ (x, y, z)^{\lambda_2} &= (-x, -y, z). \end{aligned}$$

The defining equation of X_1 is invariant under this S_4-action. Hence S_4 acts on X_1. Put $Y_1 = X_1/G$ and denote the quotient morphism by $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$. By [17] and [19], $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$ is a versal S_4-cover.

We look into some properties of X_1 with respect to this S_4-action for later use. We first remark that X_1 is a del-Pezzo surface of degree 6, i.e., X_1 is obtained by blowing-up at distinct 3 points of $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Lemma 1.1 *The divisor given by $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$ is a cycle of rational curves $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_6$. Each C_i is a smooth rational curve with $C_i^2 = -1$.*

Proof. Let $p_{12} : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection to the first two factors. By its defining equation, we infer that the restriction of p_{12} to X_1 is the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at $([1,0],[0,1])$ and $([0,1],[1,0])$. Our statement easily follows from this observation.

Lemma 1.2 *Let* $\mathrm{Pic}(X_1)$ *be the Picard group of* X_1. *Then the* S_4 *invariant part* $\mathrm{Pic}^{S_4}(X_1) = \mathbb{Z}(-K_{X_1})$.

Proof. $-K_{X_1} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{6} C_i$, and one can easily check that the divisor class in the right hand generates $\mathrm{Pic}^{S_4}(X_1)$.

For $x \in X_1$, we put $d_x = \sharp O(x)$, where $O(x)$ denotes the orbit of x. For later use, we study points with $d_x < 6$.

Lemma 1.3 (*i*) *There are no points with $d_x = 1, 2, 5$.*

(*ii*) *There are* 12 *points with $d_x = 4$ as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R_{11}(1,1,1), & R_{12}(1,-1,-1), & R_{13}(-1,-1,1), & R_{14}(-1,1,-1), \\ R_{21}(\omega,\omega,\omega), & R_{22}(\omega,-\omega,-\omega), & R_{23}(-\omega,-\omega,\omega), & R_{24}(-\omega,\omega,-\omega), \\ R_{31}(\omega^2,\omega^2,\omega^2), & R_{32}(\omega^2,-\omega^2,-\omega^2), & R_{33}(-\omega^2,-\omega^2,\omega^2), & R_{34}(-\omega^2,\omega^2,-\omega^2), \end{array}$$

where the coordinates means the affine coordinates (x, y, z) and $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$. These 12 points consist of 3*-orbits.*

(*iii*) *There are* 6 *points with $d_x = 3$ as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1([0,1],[1,0],[0,1]), & P_2([1,0],[0,1],[0,1]), & P_3([0,1],[0,1],[1,0]), \\ Q_1([1,0,],[1,0],[0,1]), & Q_2([1,0],[0,1],[1,0]), & Q_3([0,1],[1,0],[1,0]). \end{array}$$

These 6 *points consist of* 2 *orbits.*

Proof. Note that τ acts on the divisor $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$ freely and the subgroup $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ has no fixed points on the affine surface $xyz = 1$. Taking these observation into account, we can easily check the above statement by direct computation.

Lemma 1.4 *Divisors given by the equations $x_1 = \omega^i x_0$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are rational curves with self-intersection number 0.*

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 1.1, we infer that the divisors as above come from those in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with self-intersection number 0 and all of these divisors in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ do not pass through $([1, 0], [0, 1])$ and $([0, 1], [1, 0])$. This implies our statement.

Versal S_4-cover $\varpi_{S_4,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$

By Proposition 4.1 (ii) in [17], we have a versal S_4-cover $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2/S_4$ by using ρ. Put $X_2 = \mathbb{P}_2$, $Y_2 = \mathbb{P}^2/S_4$ and $\varpi_{S_4,2} =$ the quotient morphism.

1.2 Versal A_5-covers

We first start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5 *Let S be a smooth projective surface such that A_5 acts faithfully on S. Let d_x be the one as in Lemma 1.3. Then there exists no point x on S with $d_x < 5$.*

Proof. Case $d_x = 1$. Assume that there exists a point x with $d_x = 1$. Then we have a non-trivial homomorphism $\eta : A_5 \to \mathrm{GL}(T_xS)$, where T_xS is the tangent plane at x. Since A_5 is simple, η is injective, but A_5 has no 2-dimensional faithful representations.

Case $d_x = 2$. Assume that such a point x exists. The stabilizer group G_x at x is a subgroup of A_5 with index 2. This implies that $A_5 \rhd G_x$, which is impossible.

Case $d_x = 3, 4$. Assume that such a point exists. Then we have a non-trivial homomorphism from A_5 to the symmetric group of either 3 or 4 letters. The kernel of this homomorphism is a non-trivial normal subgroup, which is a contradiction.

Versal A_5-cover $\varpi_{A_5,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$

Let X_1 be a del-Pezzo surface of degree 5. It is known that $\mathrm{Aut}(X_1) \cong S_5$ (e.g., see [11]). Based on the result in [7], we have proved $X_1 \to X_1/A_5$ is a versal A_5-cover. Put $Y_1 = X_1/A_5$ and $\varpi_{A_5,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$. Note that $\mathrm{Pic}^{A_5}(X_1) = \mathbb{Z}(-K_X)$ in this case also.

Versal A_5-cover $\varpi_{A_5,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$

Let $\rho : A_5 \to \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a faithful irreducible representation. By using ρ, we obtain another versal A_5-cover $\varpi_{A_5,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$ in the same manner as in the versal S_4-cover $\varpi_{S_4,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$, i.e. $X_2 = \mathbb{P}^2$, $Y_2 = \mathbb{P}^2/A_5$, and $\varpi_{A_5,2} =$ the quotient morphism.

2 Noether's inequality

In this section we explain Noether's inequality in our setting. The proof is identical to the proof of the general form of Noether's inequality given in [9]. We only need to keep in mind that we are using G-invariant linear systems.

Let X and X' be smooth projective surfaces with G-action. Let $\mathcal{K}_X$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_{X'}$) be the canonical linear system of X (resp. X'). Let $\Phi\colon X \dashrightarrow X'$ be a G-equivariant birational map. Let $\mathcal{H}_{X'}$ be a G-invariant variable linear system of divisors on X' which does not have any fixed components. Let $\mathcal{H}_X = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_{X'})$ be the proper inverse image of $\mathcal{H}_{X'}$. Note that Φ is G-equivariant, so $\mathcal{H}_X$ is also G-invariant.

Let $\eta\colon X_N \to X$ be the G-equivariant resolution of indeterminacies of Φ [14]. It is a composition of G-equivariant blow-ups along smooth centers, which are blow-ups along 0-dimensional G-orbits $O(x)$ in our case. Let $\psi = \Phi \circ \eta$.

$$\eta\colon X_N \xrightarrow{\eta_{N,N-1}} X_{N-1} \xrightarrow{\eta_{N-1,N-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\eta_{2,1}} X_1 \xrightarrow{\eta_{1,0}} X_0 = X$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_N & & \\ {\scriptstyle\eta}\downarrow & \searrow^{\psi} & \\ X & \overset{\Phi}{\cdots\cdots\to} & Y \end{array}$$

$\eta_{i+1,i}$ is a blow-up along a 0-dimensional G-orbit $O(x_i)$. Let $\eta_{j,i} = \eta_{j,j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \eta_{i+1,i}$ $(N \geq j > i+1 \geq 1)$, $\eta_{N,N} = \mathrm{id}_{X_N}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{X_N}$ be the proper transform of $\mathcal{H}'$ on X_N. Let $H_\bullet$ and $K_\bullet$ be a member of $\mathcal{H}_\bullet$ and $\mathcal{K}_\bullet$ respectively, where $\bullet = X$, X_N, and X'. Then we have

$$H_{X_N} = \eta^* H_X - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} r(x_i)\eta_{N,i+1}^*(E_{i+1})$$

$$K_{X_N} = \eta^* K_X + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \eta_{N,i+1}^*(E_{i+1})$$

where $r(x_i)$ is the multiplicity of a base point $x_i \in O(x_i)$ (a point in the center $O(x_i)$ of the blow-up $\eta_{i+1,i}$) of $\mathcal{H}_X$, and E_i is the exceptional divisor of $\eta_{i,i-1}$. We note that E_i is a disjoint union of (-1)-curves corresponding to the points in $O(x_i)$, and $r(x_i) = r(x_j)$ if $O(x_i) = O(x_j)$ since $\mathcal{H}_X$ is G-invariant.

Lemma 2.1 *[Noether's Inequality]*

(i) Under the notation above, suppose that $\mathcal{H}_{X'} + m\mathcal{K}_{X'} = \emptyset$ then there exists a 0-dimensional G-orbit $O(x)$ consisting of maximal singularities (i.e. base points of $\mathcal{H}$ with multiplicity $r > m$), or else the adjoint linear system $\mathcal{H}_X + m\mathcal{K}_X$ is empty on X.

(ii) Under the same conditions, if there exists a variable family of curves $\mathcal{C}'$ such that $(H_{X'} + mK_{X'})C' < 0$ then there exists a 0-dimensional G-orbit of maximal singularities, or else there is a curve $C \subset X$ such that $(H_X + mK_X)C < 0$.

Proof. (i)We have

$$H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N} = \eta^*(H_X + mK_X) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(m - r(x_i))\eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_{i+1}) \tag{1}$$

Then by applying ψ_* to both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{X'} + mK_{X'} &= \psi_*(H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N}) \\ &= \psi_*\eta^*(H_X + mK_X) + \psi_*(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(m - r(x_i))\eta^*_{N,i+1}(E_i)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{X'} + m\mathcal{K}_{X'} = \emptyset$ by hypothesis the right hand side cannot be an effective divisor, hence $r(x_i) > m$ for at least one i, or else $\mathcal{H}_X + m\mathcal{K}_X = \emptyset$.

$(ii)\psi^*(H_{X'} + mK_{X'}) = (H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N}) + F$ where F is the exceptional divisor of ψ. Then $\psi^*\mathcal{C}'F = 0$. Then we have $(H_{X_N} + mK_{X_N})\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$. Suppose that $r(x_i) \leq m$ for all i. Then by intersecting both sides of (1) by $C \in \psi^*\mathcal{C}'$ we find that $\eta^*(H_X + mK_X)\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$. Hence $(H_X + mK_X)\eta_*\psi^*\mathcal{C}' < 0$. A general member C' of $\eta_*\psi^*\mathcal{C}'$ may be reducible but we have $(H_X + mK_X)C < 0$ for at least one irreducible component of C'.

3 Proof of Theorem 0.1

3.1 The case of S_4

Suppose that there exists an S_4-equivariant rational map $\Phi : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2(= \mathbb{P}^2)$. Let Λ be the complete linear system given by the class of line L, and let $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ be the proper image of Λ. Since the map Φ is given by $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$, $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ has no fixed components. Also $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is S_4-invariant. Hence $H \in \Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is linearly equivalent to $-aK_{X_1}$ for some $a \geq 1$. Now apply Lemma 2.1 to $\Lambda + a\mathcal{K}_{X_2}$ and $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda) + a\mathcal{K}_{X_1}$. There exists an S_4-orbit $O(x)$ of $x \in X_1$ such that $O(x)$ is contained in the base points $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$ and the multiplicity r at each point in $O(x)$ is greater than a. As any element in $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)$

passes through $O(x)$ with multiplicity r, we have $a^2K_{X_1}^2 \geq r^2 d$, d being $\sharp(O(x))$; and we have $d < K_{X_1}^2 = 6$. Hence $O(x)$ is one of the orbits described in Lemma 1.3. Following Iskovskhih [9], we call this orbit *a maximal singularity*.

Lemma 3.1 *The orbit $O(x)$ with $d = 4$ can not be a maximal singularity.*

Proof. Let E_i be the divisor on X_1 given by $x_1 = \omega^i x_0$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ as in Lemma 1.4. Suppose that $O((\omega^i, \omega^i, \omega^i))$ is a maximal singularity, and let $q : \hat{X}_1 \to X_1$ be the blowing-up at $O((\omega^i, \omega^i, \omega^i))$. Then the linear system $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(R_{i1} + R_{i2} + R_{i3} + R_{i4})$ does not have any fixed components (we identify R_{ij} $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ with the exceptional curves). Let $\bar{E}_i$ be the proper transform of E_i. Then

$$(-aq^*K_{X_1} - r\sum_{j=1}^{4} R_{ij})\bar{E}_i = 2a - 2r < 0.$$

This means that $\bar{E}_i$ is a fixed component of $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(R_{i1} + R_{i2} + R_{i3} + R_{i4})$.

Lemma 3.2 *The orbit $O(x)$ with $d = 3$ can not be a maximal singularity.*

Proof. Suppose that $O(P_1) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ is a maximal singularity. We may assume that the irreducible component C_1 in the divisor $x_0y_0z_0 = 0$ passes through P_1. Let $q : \hat{X}_1 \to X_1$ be the blowing-up at $O(P_1)$. Then the linear system $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$ does not have any fixed components (we identify P_i $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ with the exceptional curves). Let $\bar{C}_1$ be the proper transform of C_1. Then

$$(-aq^*K_{X_1} - r\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_j)\bar{C}_1 = a - r < 0.$$

This means that $\bar{C}_1$ is a fixed component of $q^*(\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda)) - r(P_1 + P_2 + P_3)$.

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, Theorem 0.1 for S_4 follows.

3.2 The case of A_5

By the same argument as in the previous case, the existence of Φ implies the existence of an A_5-orbit $O(x)$, $x \in X_1$ with $\sharp(O(x)) < 5$. This contradicts Lemma 1.5.

4 A remark for versal S_4-covers $\varpi_{S_4,1} : X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\varpi_{S_4,2} : X_2 \to Y_2$

By the definition of versality, there exist S_4-equivariant rational maps $\mu_1 : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$ and $\mu_2 : X_2 \dashrightarrow X_1$ such that $\mu_1(X_{1)} \not\subset \mathrm{Fix}(X_2, G)$ and $\mu_2(X_2) \not\subset \mathrm{Fix}(X_1, G)$. Note that both of μ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are dominant as there exists no 1-dimensional versal S_4-cover. In this section, we give examples of such μ_i $(i = 1, 2)$ such that

(i) both field extensions $\mathbb{C}(X_1)/\mathbb{C}(X_2)$ and $\mathbb{C}(X_2)/\mathbb{C}(X_1)$ induced by μ_1 and μ_2, respectively, are cyclic extension of degree 3, and

(ii) the field extension $\mathbb{C}(X_2)/(\mu_2 \circ \mu_1)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2))$ is Galois and its Galois group is ismorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$.

Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ generated by the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3)$.

One can easily check the facts below

1. The order of $\sharp(G)$ of G is 216.
2. The subgroup, H_1, generated by A, B, C_1 and C_2 is isomorphic to S_4.
3. The subgroup, H_2, generated by D_1 and D_2 is a normal subgroup of G. G is a semi-direct product of H_1 and H_2.
4. The subgroup, H_3, generated by $D_1 D_2^2$ is a normal subgroup of G.
5. The representation of S_4 by A, B, C_1, and C_2 is conjugate to the representation described in Section 1.1, and is given by $\rho(\tau)(\rho(g))\rho(\tau)^{-1}, g \in S_4$.

Let $[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ be the homogeneous coordinate functions on $\mathbb{P}^2$. We define the action of G by

$$[X_0, X_1, X_2]^g := [X_0, X_1, X_2]A_g,$$

where A_g denotes the 3×3 matrix corresponding g. Put $x = X_1/X_0$ and $y = X_2/X_0$. Then $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathbb{C}(x, y)$ and the G-action with respect to x and y is described as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (x,y)^A = (y,x), & (x,y)^B = (y/x, 1/x), & (x,y)^{C_1} = (x,-y), \\ (x,y)^{C_2} = (-x,-y), & (x,y)^{D_1} = (\omega x, y) & (x,y)^{D_2} = (x, \omega y). \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1 *There exists $u, v \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3}$ such that (i) $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3} = \mathbb{C}(u, v)$, the invariant field by H_3, and (ii) the S_4-action induced by H_1 is described as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} (u,v)^A = (v,u), & (u,v)^B = (v, 1/(uv)), \\ (u,v)^{C_1} = (-u,v), & (u,v)^{C_2} = (-u,-v). \end{array}$$

Proof. Put $u = x^2/y$ and $v = y^2/x$. Then one can easily check $u^{D_1 D_2^2} = u$ and $v^{D_1 D_2^2} = v$. Hence $u, v \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3}$. We show that $\mathbb{C}(u, v) = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3}$. Let $\theta = y/x$. As $\theta^{D_1 D_2^2} = \omega\theta$, $\theta \notin \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3}$. Since $x = u\theta$ and $y = \theta/v$, we have $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{C}(u, v)(\theta)$. Moreover, $\theta^3 = v/u \in \mathbb{C}(u, v)$. Hence $[\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) : \mathbb{C}(u, v)] = 3$ and this implies that $\mathbb{C}(u, v) = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3}$. The second assertion is straightforward by our choice of u and v.

We now see that the S_4-action on $\mathbb{C}(u, v)$ is identified with the one on $\mathbb{C}(X_1)$ as follows:

Let (x, y, z) be the affine coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ introduced in §1. The surface X_1 is given by the equation $xyz = 1$ with respect to this coordinate. Put $x = u, y = v, z = 1/xy$, we can check that the two S_4-actions coincide with each other.

Now consider a sequence of fields:

$$\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_3} = \mathbb{C}(X_1) \subset \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^2)^{H_2}.$$

Corresponding to this sequence, we have rational maps

$$\mu_2 : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow X_1$$

and

$$\mu_1 : X_1 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2/H_2.$$

Since the subgroup H_1 induces an S_4-action on each surface, both μ_1 and μ_2 are S_4-equivariant. Also the quotient surface $\mathbb{P}^2/H_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the S_4-actions on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^2/H_2$ induced by H_1 is identified with the one on $X_2 (= \mathbb{P}^2)$. Finally the field extension $(\mu_2 \circ \mu_1)^*(\mathbb{C}(X_2)) \subset \mathbb{C}(X_2)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$-extension. Therefore we have the rational maps μ_1 and μ_2 as desired.

Remark 4.1 *It may be an interesting question to consider if there exists a simple relation between X_1 and X_2 in the case of A_5 as above.*

References

[1] S. Bannai, Construction of versal Galois coverings using toric varieties, preprint.

[2] L. Bayle and A. Beauville, Birational involutions of $\mathbb{P}^2$, *Asian J. Math.* **4**(2000), 11-18.

[3] A. Beauville and J. Blanc, On Cremona transformations of prime order, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339**(2004), 257-259.

[4] A. Beauville, p-elementary subgroups of the Cremona group, arXive: mathAG/0502123

[5] J. Buhler and Z. Reichstein, On the essential dimension of a finite group, *Compos. Math.* **106** (1997), 159-179.

[6] T. De Fernex, On planar Cremona maps of prime order, *Nagoya Math. J.* **174** (2004),1-28.

[7] K. Hashimoto and H. Tsunogai, Generic polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$ with two parameters for the transitive groups of degree five, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **79** (2003), 142-145.

[8] V. A. Iskovskikh, Two non-conjugate embeddings of $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ into the Cremona group II, arXiv:math.AG/0508484.

[9] V. A. Iskovskikh, Factorization of birational maps of rational surfaces from the viewpoint of Mori theory, *Russian Math. Surveys* **51**(1996), 585-652.

[10] S. Kantor: Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von eindeutigen Transformationen in der Ebene, Mayer & Müller, Berlin, 1895.

[11] M. Koitabashi, Automorphism Groups of Generic Rational surfaces, *J. Algebra*, **116**, 130-142 (1988).

[12] M. Namba, On finite Galois Coverings of projective manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **41**, 391-403.

[13] M. Namba, Finite branched coverings of complex manifold, *Sugaku Expositions* **5** (1992) 193-211.

[14] Z. Reichstein and B. Youssin, Equivariant resolution of points of indeterminancy, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130**(2002), 2183-2187.

[15] H. Tokunaga, On dihedral Galois coverings, *Canad. J. Math.* **46** (1994), 1299-1317.

[16] H. Tokunaga, Galois covers for $\mathfrak{S}_4$ and $\mathfrak{A}_4$ and their applications, *Osaka J. Math.* **39**(2002), 621-645.

[17] H. Tokunaga, 2-dimensional versal S_4-covers and rational elliptic surfaces, *Séminaire et Congrés* **10**, Société Mathematique de France (2005), 307-322.

[18] H. Tokunaga, 2-dimensional versal G-covers and Cremona embeddings of finite groups, to appear in *Kyushu J. of Math.*

[19] H. Tsuchihashi, Galois coverings of projective varieties for the dihedral groups and the symmetric groups, *Kyushu J. of Math.* **57**(2003), 411-427.

[20] A. Wiman, Zur Theorie der endlichen Gruppen von birationalen Transformationen in der Ebene, *Math. Ann.* **48**(1896), 195-240.

Department of Mathematics and Information Science
Tokyo Metropolitan University
1-1 Minamiohsawa
Hachoji 192-0397
Tokyo